

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF SOLENOIDAL ATTRACTORS OF THE
CYLINDER

SUR LA TOPOLOGIE DES ATTRACTEURS DE TYPE
SOLENOÏDE DU CYLINDRE

Rodrigo Bamón†

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile,
Casilla 653, Santiago, Chile
rbamon@uchile.cl

Jan Kiwi‡

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica,
Casilla 306, Correo 22, Santiago, Chile.
jkiwi@puc.cl

Juan Rivera-Letelier*

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,
Casilla 1280, Antofagasta, Chile.
juanrive@ucn.cl

Richard Urzúa★

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Católica del Norte,
Casilla 1280, Antofagasta, Chile.
rurzua@ucn.cl

Date: March 22, 2004.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37C70, 37D45, 37E99, 37G35.

Key words and phrases. Attractors, Endomorphisms.

This work has been partially supported by Mecesus UCN-0202, Mecesus PUC-UCH-0103 and IMPA.

†Partially supported by Fondecyt # 1010865.

‡Partially supported by Fondecyt #1020711.

*Partially supported by Fundación Andes, PROSUL, and Fondecyt #1070711.

★Partially supported by Fondecyt #1000047, DGICT-UCN and Fundación Andes.

ABSTRACT. We study the dynamics of skew product endomorphisms acting on the cylinder $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, of the form

$$(\theta, t) \mapsto (\ell\theta, \lambda\theta + \tau(\theta)),$$

where $\ell \geq 2$ is an integer, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. We are interested on *topological* properties of the global attractor $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ of this map. Given ℓ and a Lipschitz function τ , we show that the attractor set $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is homeomorphic to a closed topological annulus for all λ sufficiently close to 1. Moreover, we prove that $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a Jordan curve for at most finitely many $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

These results rely on a detailed study of iterated “cohomological” equations of the form $\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}\mu_1$, $\mu_1 = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2}\mu_2, \dots$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\mu = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_{\ell} - \lambda\mu$ and $\mathbf{m}_{\ell} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the multiplication by ℓ map. We show the following finiteness result: each Lipschitz function τ can be written in a canonical way as,

$$\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu,$$

where $m \geq 0$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in (0, 1]$ and the Lipschitz function μ satisfies $\mu \neq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\rho$ for every continuous function ρ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

RÉSUMÉ. On étudie la dynamique des produits croisés agissant sur le cylindre $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, de la forme

$$(\theta, t) \mapsto (\ell\theta, \lambda\theta + \tau(\theta)),$$

où $\ell \geq 2$ est un entier, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ et $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ est une fonction continue. On s'intéresse aux propriétés *topologiques* de l'attracteur global $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ de cet endomorphisme. Étant donné ℓ et une fonction lipschitzienne τ , on démontre que l'attracteur $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ est homéomorphe à un anneau topologique pour tout λ assez proche de 1. D'autre part, on démontre qu'il existe au plus un nombre fini de $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ tels que l'attracteur $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ soit une courbe de Jordan.

Ces résultats s'appuient sur une analyse détaillée des équations “cohomologiques” itérées : $\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}\mu_1$, $\mu_1 = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2}\mu_2, \dots$, où $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\mu = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_{\ell} - \lambda\mu$ et $\mathbf{m}_{\ell}$ est l'application de multiplication par ℓ sur le cercle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. On démontre le résultat de finitude suivant : toute fonction lipschitzienne τ s'écrit de façon canonique sous la forme

$$\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu,$$

où $m \geq 0$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in (0, 1]$ et la fonction lipschitzienne μ satisfait $\mu \neq \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}\rho$ pour toute fonction continue ρ et tout $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper we study the dynamics of skew product endomorphisms of the cylinder $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\lambda, \tau} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \\ (\theta, t) &\mapsto (\ell\theta, \lambda t + \tau(\theta)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\ell \geq 2$ is an integer, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

The non-wandering set $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a global attractor of the dynamics of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$: the forward orbit of every point in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ converges to $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ is transitive on $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. In fact $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ is topologically semi-conjugate to a solenoidal map on $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ (Section 2)

These maps were initially studied in [5], from a *measure theoretical* point of view. In that paper M. Tsujii showed that $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ has a unique physical measure and that the support of this measure is the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. The main result of [5] is

that, when $\lambda > \ell^{-1}$, for generic functions τ of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ the unique physical measure of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

The purpose of this paper is to study *topological* properties of the attractor sets $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *The set $\mathcal{J}_\tau = \{\lambda \in (0, 1) / \Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \text{ is a Jordan curve}\}$ is finite.*
- (2) *There exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a homeomorphis to a closed annulus for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$.*

For a given $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we characterize those functions τ for which $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve in terms of the Fourier coefficients of τ (Theorem 2). From this characterization it follows easily that the set of those τ for which $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve has infinite codimension in the space of all Lipschitz functions.

1.1. On the interior of the attractor. When $\lambda < \ell^{-1}$, it is easy to see that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ has zero Lebesgue measure and hence empty interior. On the other hand, when $\lambda = \ell^{-1}$ we show the set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not homeomorphic to an annulus (Proposition 4.4). So λ_0 in part (2) of the theorem must be strictly larger than ℓ^{-1} .

When $\lambda > \ell^{-1}$, Tsujii's result (mentioned above) implies that for most τ of class $\mathcal{C}^2$, the attractor set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ has positive Lebesgue measure. Here we show examples of maps $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ for which the set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ has non-empty interior but it is not homeomorphic to an annulus (Proposition 7.4). In these examples λ can be taken arbitrarily close to ℓ^{-1} . Moreover we show that these examples are *robust* in the sense that any map $A : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ that is sufficiently (Lipschitz) close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ has the same properties.

In a forthcoming paper we show that, when $\lambda > \ell^{-1}$, for most τ of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ the set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ has non-empty interior.

1.2. On the iterated cohomological equation. Recall that a continuous function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *cohomologous to 0* if there is a continuous function μ such that

$$\tau = \mathcal{L}\mu = \mu - \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell,$$

where $\mathbf{m}_\ell : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the multiplication by ℓ map. It is easy to see that in that case $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tau = 0$ and the function μ is unique up to an additive constant. For this reason we will assume that all the functions considered in the rest of the introduction have 0 integral.

Part (2) of the theorem is first proven in the case when τ is not *cohomologous to 0* (Proposition 4.6). When $\tau = \mathcal{L}\mu$ is cohomologous to 0, a direct computation shows that *the maps $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ and $A_{\lambda,\mu}$ are conjugated* by the homeomorphism $(\theta, t) \mapsto (\theta, (t + \mu)/(1 - \lambda))$. So, if μ is not cohomologous to 0 we reduce to the first case. By induction, if for some positive integer n there is a continuous function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is not cohomologous to 0 and such that $\tau = \mathcal{L}^n \mu$, then we reduce to the first case.

We complete the proof of part (2) of the theorem by showing that *a non-constant Lipschitz function cannot be infinitely cohomologous to 0*. More precisely, we show that if τ is Lipschitz, then the integer n above is bounded by a constant depending only on τ (Lemma 5.7).

Problem 1. *Is there a non-constant continuous function that is infinitely cohomologous to 0?*

1.3. Cohomological operators. For $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ it is interesting to consider the linear operators $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ defined by $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu = \lambda \mu - \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell$, so that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}$. For $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ we show that $\Omega_{\lambda_0, \tau}$ is a Jordan curve if and only if there exists a (Lipschitz) continuous function μ such that $\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0} \mu$ (Proposition 3.1). In that case, for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ different from λ_0 , the maps $A_{\lambda_0, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ are conjugate (Lemma 5.4).

We show that each Lipschitz function τ can be written in a canonical way as

$$\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu,$$

where the function μ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \mu = 0$ and $\mu \neq \mathcal{L}_\lambda \rho$ for every $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and every continuous function ρ (here there might be repetitions among $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$). This implies part (1) of the theorem. Note that such a function ρ is such that for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ the set $\Omega_{\lambda, \mu}$ is not a Jordan curve and for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ different from the λ_i , the maps $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda_i, \tau}$ are conjugate (Theorem 3).

Problem 2. *For $\ell = 2$, let μ be a Lipschitz function such that $\mu \neq \mathcal{L}_\lambda \rho$ for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and every non-constant function ρ . Is there $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Omega_{\lambda, \mu}$ is homeomorphic to an annulus if and only if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$?*

1.4. Are there periodic points in the boundary? The *upper* (resp. *lower*) boundary of the attractor is by definition the graph of the function

$$\rho^+(\theta) = \sup\{t/(\theta, t) \in \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}\}$$

$$(\text{resp. } \rho^-(\theta) = \inf\{t/(\theta, t) \in \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}\}.)$$

These functions are continuous and characterized by the functional equations

$$\rho^+(\theta) = \max\{\lambda \rho(\theta') + \tau(\theta')/\theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\}$$

$$\rho^-(\theta) = \min\{\lambda \rho(\theta') + \tau(\theta')/\theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\}.$$

Moreover, when τ is Lipschitz the functions ρ^+ and ρ^- are also Lipschitz.

Conjecture. *For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there is an open and dense set of functions τ of class $\mathcal{C}^1$, such that the following properties hold.*

1. *The upper (resp. lower) boundary contains a finite number of periodic orbits of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$.*
2. *The upper (resp. lower) boundary is formed by a finite number of pieces of the unstable manifolds of the periodic orbits that it contains.*

In particular the upper and lower boundaries are $\mathcal{C}^1$ by parts.

For a given $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and a continuous function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ consider the closed set

$$D^+ = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} / \lambda \rho^+(\theta) + \tau(\theta) = \rho^+(\ell\theta)\}.$$

It follows from the functional equation of ρ^+ that $\mathbf{m}_\ell(D^+) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, so the maximal invariant set

$$K^+ = \{\theta \in D^+ / \mathbf{m}_\ell^n(\theta) \in D^+ \text{ for } n \geq 1\}$$

is non-empty and compact. Part 1 of the conjecture implies that K^+ contains a finite number of periodic orbits and part 2 implies that K^+ is finite.

The above conjecture is somewhat similar to the conjecture that, for generic expanding endomorphisms of the circle f , there is a unique measure μ minimizing the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \ln f' d\mu$ and that this measure has finite support, see [1], [2] and references therein.

1.5. Notes and references. Similar skew product of the cylinder where studied by M. Viana [7]. M. Tsujii [6] extended the results of [5] and [7] to general partially hyperbolic endomorphisms on surfaces. Piecewise affine endomorphisms having an attractor of non-empty interior were studied in [3].

Acknowledgements. Juan Rivera-Letelier is grateful to J.-C. Yoccoz for useful conversations and valuable comments and Rodrigo Bamón thanks Marcelo Viana for helpful and constructive discussions.

1.6. Outline. Let us now describe the structure of the paper.

Section 2 starts giving several equivalent characterizations of the set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$. Then, in Subsection 2.1, we show that the semiconjugacy between the dynamics induced by the multiplication by ℓ map on the solenoid $\mathcal{S}$ and the dynamics of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ on $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ can be written in a fairly explicit manner. Also, we endow $\mathcal{S}$ with an adapted metric dist_λ which makes this semiconjugacy a Lipschitz function, provided that τ is Lipschitz. Then it naturally follows that the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ are Lipschitz graphs when τ is Lipschitz (Subsection 2.2).

Section 3 is devoted to study Jordan curve attractors. We characterize them and show, among other results, that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve if and only if the functional equation $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$ has a continuous solution (Proposition 3.1). This allow us to show, in Subsection 3.2, that the set of continuous τ (with absolutely convergent Fourier series) such that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve has infinite codimension (Theorem 2).

The main result in Section 4 is that, for λ sufficiently close to 1, the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a closed topological annulus provided that τ is Hölder, not cohomologous to 0 and with 0 integral (Proposition 4.6). This section starts with general results about annular attractors. In particular, we show that if the image of the upper boundary of $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is above in the cylinder $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ than the image of the lower boundary, then $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is homeomorphic to a closed annulus (Lemma 4.1). Also, we establish that for $\lambda \leq 1/\ell$, the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ cannot be an annulus (Proposition 4.4). Then, in Subsection 4.1, under the above assumptions for τ we find periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}^\pm$ in the circle so that the corresponding orbits in $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ have $\mathbb{R}$ coordinates tending to $\pm\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 1$. From this we deduce that the image of the upper boundary is higher up in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ than the image of the lower boundary, when λ is sufficiently close to 1, and therefore that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is an annulus.

Sections 3 and 4 lead us to study in more detail the operators $\mathcal{L}_\lambda\mu = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu$ with $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. For our purpose the natural domain of the operators $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is the space of Lipschitz functions. In Section 5 we start by proving some general facts about these linear operators and relating them to conjugacy classes of maps of the form $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ (lemmas 5.2 and 5.4). As mentioned in Subsection 1.2 this forces us to study iterated equations of the form $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}\mu_1 = \tau$, $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2}\mu_2 = \mu_1$, $\dots$. An important feature of the operators $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ is that they do not increase the best Lipschitz constant for μ (Lemma 5.5) which implies that solutions μ_n of the iterated equations

are uniformly Lipschitz. Then we show that the above equations have the effect of increasing the Fourier coefficients of μ_n as n increases and establish our Main Lemma which states that, given a Lipschitz function τ there exists a finite collection $0 < \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \leq 1$ (maybe with repetitions) and a (Lipschitz) continuous function μ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu = \tau$ and $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \rho \neq \mu$ for all continuous ρ (see lemmas 5.7 and 5.9). Theorem 1 and its stronger version Theorem 3 follow immediately from our main lemma.

In Section 6 we start by appropriately defining the attractor set and the upper and lower boundaries for maps which are close to $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and state that the upper and lower boundaries of $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ vary continuously in the $\mathcal{C}^0$ topology under Lipschitz perturbations of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ (Proposition 6.2). To prove this we pass to the universal cover $\mathbb{R}^2$ of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ and, in Subsection 6.1, examine the action of Lipschitz maps from $\mathbb{R}^2$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$ on the graphs of Lipschitz functions. In Subsection 6.2, motivated by the fact that the upper and lower boundaries of $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ are the graphs of functions $\rho^\pm : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy certain functional equations we show that, under certain conditions, the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor of maps F of the cylinder are fixed points of operators $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ which act on Lipschitz functions. The definition and properties of $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ rely on the work of Subsection 6.1. At the end of Section 6 we prove the above mentioned continuity of the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor.

The last section, Section 7, contains two examples. The first example consists of an application of our results to the study of a family $f_{\lambda, c}$ of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Here $f_{\lambda, c} = f_{\lambda, 0} + c$ where $f_{\lambda, 0}$ acts as angle doubling on the arguments of $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and as an affine contraction of factor λ in the radial direction. Thus, $f_{\lambda, c}$ is closely related to the extensively studied quadratic family $Q_c(z) = z^2 + c$ where the $|z| \mapsto |z|^2$ action of Q_0 in the radial direction has been substituted by an affine contraction. We show that for λ sufficiently close to 1 and for $|c|$ sufficiently small, the attractor of $f_{\lambda, c}$ is an annulus. The second example shows that given $\lambda > 1/\ell$ there exist skew product endomorphisms $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ such that the attractors $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ have non-empty interior and are not an annulus. This example is robust under Lipschitz perturbations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this section, unless otherwise stated, $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. We start by showing that $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a global attractor for the dynamics of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and giving several equivalent characterizations of this set. As usual $\|\tau\|_\infty = \sup\{\tau(\theta) / \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ be the non-wandering set of $A_{\lambda, \tau} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

(1) *If $U_0 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$ for some T_0 such that $(1 - \lambda)T_0 > \|\tau\|_\infty$, then*

$$A_{\lambda, \tau}(\overline{U_0}) \subset U_0 \text{ and } \Omega_{\lambda, \tau} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} A_{\lambda, \tau}^n(U_0).$$

(2) *$\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is the set of all $(\theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ with a bounded infinite backward orbit (i.e., there exists $C > 0$ and $\{(\theta_n, t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $A_{\lambda, \tau}^n(\theta_n, t_n) = (\theta, t)$ and $|t_n| \leq C$ for all $n \geq 1$).*

(3) *$\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is the closure of the set formed by the periodic points of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$.*

Proof. Denote by Per the set of periodic points of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ and by B the set of points in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ which have a bounded infinite backward orbit. We will show that:

$$\overline{\text{Per}} \subset \Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \cap_{n \geq 0} A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(U_0) \subset B \subset \overline{\text{Per}}.$$

The inclusions $\overline{\text{Per}} \subset \Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ and $\cap_{n \geq 0} A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(U_0) \subset B$ are clear.

Let T_0 be such that $(1 - \lambda)T_0 > \|\tau\|_\infty$. Since

$$|\lambda t + \tau(\theta)| \leq \lambda T_0 + \|\tau\|_\infty < \lambda T_0 + (1 - \lambda)T_0 = T_0.$$

for all $|t| \leq T_0$, it follows that $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\overline{U_0}) \subset U_0$.

Note that if $(\theta_n, t_n) = A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(\theta, t)$, then $\theta_n = \ell^n \theta$ and

$$t_n = \lambda^n t + \lambda^{n-1} \tau(\theta) + \lambda^{n-2} \tau(\ell \theta) + \dots + \tau(\ell^{n-1} \theta).$$

Therefore,

$$(1) \quad |t_n| \leq \frac{1 - \lambda^n}{1 - \lambda} \|\tau\|_\infty + \lambda^n |t|.$$

Hence, for all $(\theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ there exists n such that $A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(\theta, t) \in U_0$.

Now we show that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \cap A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(U_0)$. In fact, suppose that $(\theta, t) \notin A_{\lambda,\tau}^m(U_0)$ for some m and consider n such that (θ, t) belongs to the open set $V = A_{\lambda,\tau}^{-n}(U_0)$. It follows that (θ, t) does not belong to the non-wandering set $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ since $(\theta, t) \in V$ but $(\theta, t) \notin A_{\lambda,\tau}^{n+M}(V)$ for all $M \geq m$.

To finish the proof of the lemma we consider a neighborhood U of a point (θ, t) with bounded infinite backward orbit and proceed to show that U contains a periodic point. Let $\{(\theta_n, t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $C > 0$ be such that $A_{\lambda,\tau}^n(\theta_n, t_n) = (\theta, t)$ and $|t_n| \leq C$ for all $n \geq 1$. There exist $n \geq 1$ and an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ around θ_n such that the rectangle $R = I \times [-T_0, T_0]$ maps into U under $A_{\lambda,\tau}^n$. Since there exists $\theta' \in I$ periodic under multiplication by ℓ , say of period m , we have that $A_{\lambda,\tau}^m$ restricted to $\{\theta'\} \times [-T_0, T_0]$ is a contraction. It follows that $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ has a periodic point in $\{\theta'\} \times [-T_0, T_0] \subset R$ and therefore in U . $\square$

2.1. The solenoid and the semiconjugacy. Throughout the paper multiplication by ℓ in the circle will be denoted by $\mathbf{m}_\ell : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. For each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we endow the solenoid:

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\bar{\theta} = (\theta_k) \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} / \mathbf{m}_\ell(\theta_{k+1}) = \theta_k \text{ for all } k \geq 0\}$$

with the adapted metric

$$\text{dist}_\lambda((\theta_k), (\theta'_k)) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^k \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_k, \theta'_k).$$

where $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the projection of the standard metric of $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. The dynamics of multiplication by ℓ induces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\ell : \quad \mathcal{S} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ (\theta_k)_{k \geq 0} &\mapsto (\ell \theta_0, \theta_0, \theta_1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

We will show that the dynamics of $\mathcal{M}_\ell : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ semi-conjugates to that of $A_{\lambda,\tau} : \Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \rightarrow \Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$. Thus, the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is in this sense a solenoidal attractor. To write an explicit formula for the semiconjugacy from $\mathcal{S}$ onto $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ we need the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Given a continuous function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we define $t_\lambda : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$t_\lambda(\bar{\theta}) = \tau(\theta_1) + \lambda\tau(\theta_2) + \lambda^2\tau(\theta_3) + \cdots.$$

Note that t_λ is continuous. Under the assumption that τ is a Lipschitz function we will show that t_λ is also Lipschitz. In order to make the statements precise we introduce some notation.

Notation 2.3. Consider two metric spaces (X, ρ_X) , (Y, ρ_Y) . Given a Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the best Lipschitz constant for f

$$\sup_{a \neq b} \frac{\rho_Y(f(a), f(b))}{\rho_X(a, b)}$$

is denoted by $\|f\|_L$ and if $C \geq \|f\|_L$, we say that f is a C -Lipschitz map.

Lemma 2.4. If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\|\tau\|_L$ -Lipschitz map, then t_λ is a $(\lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_L)$ -Lipschitz from $(\mathcal{S}, \text{dist}_\lambda)$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For any $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_k)$ and $\bar{\theta}' = (\theta'_k)$ in $\mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t_\lambda(\bar{\theta}) - t_\lambda(\bar{\theta}')| &\leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k-1} |\tau(\theta_k) - \tau(\theta'_k)| \\ &\leq \|\tau\|_L \cdot \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k-1} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_k, \theta'_k) \\ (2) \quad &= \lambda^{-1} \|\tau\|_L (\text{dist}_\lambda(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') - \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)) \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1} \|\tau\|_L \text{dist}_\lambda(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') \end{aligned}$$

That is, t_λ is $\lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_L$ -Lipschitz. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. Given $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and a continuous function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} h_\lambda : \mathcal{S} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \\ \bar{\theta} = (\theta_k) &\mapsto (\theta_0, t_\lambda(\bar{\theta})). \end{aligned}$$

Then h_λ is a continuous semiconjugacy from $\mathcal{S}$ onto $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. That is, $h_\lambda : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is onto and $A_{\lambda, \tau} \circ h_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ \mathcal{M}_\ell$. Moreover, $h_\lambda : (\mathcal{S}, \text{dist}_\lambda) \rightarrow \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is Lipschitz whenever $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz.

Proof. That $A_{\lambda, \tau} \circ h_\lambda = h_\lambda \circ \mathcal{M}_\ell$ is a straightforward computation. We must show that $h_\lambda(\mathcal{S}) = \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. Since $h_\lambda(\mathcal{S})$ is bounded and forward invariant (i.e., $A_{\lambda, \tau}(h_\lambda(\mathcal{S})) = h_\lambda(\mathcal{S})$), by Lemma 2.1, we have that $h(\mathcal{S}) \subset \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. Now if $(\theta_0, t_0) \in \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$, then there exists a bounded backward orbit $\{(\theta_n, t_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$. Therefore, $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t_0 = t_\lambda(\bar{\theta})$. Hence, $(\theta_0, t_0) = h_\lambda(\bar{\theta}) \in h_\lambda(\mathcal{S})$. By the previous lemma, h_λ is Lipschitz, if $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function. $\square$

2.2. Upper and lower boundaries of the attractor. The attractor $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ lies in between the graph of two functions which we call the upper and lower boundaries of $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$. More precisely:

Definition 2.6. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(\theta) &= \sup\{t / (\theta, t) \in \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}\}, \\ \rho^-(\theta) &= \inf\{t / (\theta, t) \in \Omega_{\lambda, \tau}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that $\partial_{\pm}\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} = \{(\theta, \rho_{\pm}(\theta)) / \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ are the **upper and lower boundaries** of $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$, respectively.

Since $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}) = \Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ and $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is locally orientation preserving, it follows that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^+(\theta) &= \max\{\lambda\rho^+(\theta') + \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_{\ell}^{-1}(\theta)\}, \\ \rho^-(\theta) &= \min\{\lambda\rho^-(\theta') + \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_{\ell}^{-1}(\theta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to observe that the above functional equation is very similar to the one contained in Lemma A of [1].

For τ Lipschitz, the upper and lower boundaries are Lipschitz graphs. In general, for τ of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ or even real analytic, the upper and lower boundaries are not $\mathcal{C}^1$.

Lemma 2.7. *If $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, then $\rho^{\pm} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $\frac{\|\tau\|_L}{(\ell-\lambda)}$ -Lipschitz maps.*

Proof. Consider $\theta_0, \theta'_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_k) \in \mathcal{S}$ be such that $t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}) = \rho^+(\theta_0)$. There exists $\bar{\theta}' = (\theta'_k) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta'_k, \theta_k) = \ell^{-k} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta'_0, \theta_0)$ and therefore,

$$\text{dist}_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') = \frac{\ell}{\ell - \lambda} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0).$$

Then, by (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(\theta'_0) \geq t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}') &= t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}') - t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}) + t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}) \\ &\geq -C(\text{dist}_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') - \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)) + t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}) \\ &= -C\left(\frac{\ell}{\ell - \lambda} - 1\right) \text{dist}_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') + t_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}) \\ &= \rho^+(\theta_0) - C\frac{\lambda}{\ell - \lambda} \text{dist}_{\lambda}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}') \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_L$ is a Lipschitz constant for t_{λ} (see Lemma 2.4). It follows that $\rho^+ : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz with the appropriate constant. For $\rho^- : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a similar argument can be applied. $\square$

3. JORDAN CURVE ATTRACTORS

Observe that the equator $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ of the cylinder is the attractor of the map $A_{\lambda,0}$.

3.1. Characterization. The next proposition characterizes Jordan curve attractors.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $\mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is either the Lipschitz class, or $\mathcal{C}^r$ class for some $r \in [0, \infty] \cup \{\omega\}$.*

Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is topologically conjugate to $A_{\lambda,0}$.
- (1') $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugate to $A_{\lambda,0}$.
- (2) $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a continuous function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (2') $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $\mathcal{C}$.
- (3) The functional equation $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_{\ell} - \lambda\mu = \tau$ has a continuous solution $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

(3') The functional equation $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$ has a solution $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of class $\mathcal{C}$.

(4) $\rho^+(\theta) = \rho^-(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

(5) $\rho^+(\theta) = \rho^-(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

(6) $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve.

Proof. (6) $\implies$ (5). If $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve, then $\rho^+(\theta) = \rho^-(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Otherwise, $\rho^+(\theta) > \rho^-(\theta)$ for all θ and the two Jordan curves $\{(\theta, \rho^+(\theta))\}$ and $\{(\theta, \rho^-(\theta))\}$ would be disjoint and contained in the Jordan curve $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ which is impossible.

(5) $\implies$ (4). If $\rho^+(\theta) = \rho^-(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, then the set $S = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} / \rho^+(\theta) = \rho^-(\theta)\}$ is not empty, closed and $\mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(S) = S$, hence $S = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

(4) $\implies$ (2). If ρ^+ and ρ^- agree on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is the graph of $\mu = \rho^+ = \rho^-$.

(2) $\implies$ (3) (resp. (2') $\implies$ (3')). If $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a $\mathcal{C}^0$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}$) function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $(\ell\theta, \lambda\mu(\theta) + \tau(\theta)) = A_{\lambda,\tau}(\theta, \mu(\theta))$ belongs to the graph of μ . Therefore, $\mu(\ell\theta) = \lambda\mu(\theta) + \tau(\theta)$ for all θ .

(3) $\implies$ (3'). Let us denote by $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ quotient map. If $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$ has a $\mathcal{C}^0$ solution $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $\mu \circ \pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of $\tilde{\mu}(\ell s) - \lambda\tilde{\mu}(s) = \tilde{\tau}(s)$ where $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \circ \pi$. It is not difficult to check that this latter equation has a unique continuous solution given by

$$\tilde{\mu}(s) = \tilde{\tau}(s/\ell) + \lambda\tilde{\tau}(s/\ell^2) + \lambda^2\tilde{\tau}(s/\ell^3) + \dots,$$

which is of the same class as $\tilde{\tau}$. Therefore, $\mu \circ \pi = \tilde{\mu}$ and μ are of class $\mathcal{C}$.

(3') $\implies$ (1') and (2'). If $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$ function such that $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$, then $h \circ A_{\lambda,0} = A_{\lambda,\tau} \circ h$ where $h(\theta, t) = (\theta, t + \mu(\theta))$. Hence $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugate to $A_{\lambda,0}$ and $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} = h(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}) = \Omega_{\lambda,0}$ is the graph of $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Since (1') trivially implies (1) and (1) implies (6), the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

3.2. Infinite codimension. Throughout this subsection we fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. In Proposition 3.1 we showed that the global attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a Jordan curve if and only if the functional equation

$$(4) \quad \tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu$$

has a continuous solution $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The aim of this subsection is to characterize the continuous functions $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the functional equation (4) has a solution. With this purpose in mind, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the linear functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_k : L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \psi &\mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \psi(\theta) \nu_k(\theta) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nu_k(\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n (e^{2\pi i \ell^n k \theta} + e^{-2\pi i \ell^n k \theta}).$$

Lemma 3.2. Consider $\tau \in L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that there exists $\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ such that:

$$\tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu.$$

Then, $\mathcal{D}_k(\tau) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell \nmid k$.

Recall that for each $\phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ the k -th Fourier coefficient of ϕ is defined by

$$\widehat{\phi}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \phi(\theta) e^{-2\pi i k \theta} d\theta.$$

For general background on Fourier series see [4].

Proof. From equation (4) it follows that

$$\lambda^n \tau(\theta) + \lambda^{n-1} \tau(\ell\theta) + \cdots + \tau(\ell^n \theta) = \mu(\ell^{n+1} \theta) - \lambda^{n+1} \mu(\theta)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. For all k such that $\ell \nmid k$, computing the $\ell^n k$ -th Fourier coefficient of the functions involved in the previous equation we obtain that:

$$(5) \quad \lambda^n \hat{\tau}(\ell^n k) + \lambda^{n-1} \hat{\tau}(\ell^{n-1} k) + \cdots + \hat{\tau}(k) = -\lambda^{n+1} \hat{\mu}(\ell^n k).$$

In view of the fact that the Fourier coefficient of $\mu \in L^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ are bounded, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $\lambda^{n+1} \hat{\mu}(\ell^n k) \rightarrow 0$ and, therefore,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n \hat{\tau}(\ell^n k) = 0.$$

Now recall that the Fourier coefficients of a real valued function are even. In particular, $\hat{\tau}(k) = \hat{\tau}(-k)$ and equation (6) is equivalent to:

$$\mathcal{D}_k(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n (\hat{\tau}(\ell^n k) + \hat{\tau}(-\ell^n k)) = 0$$

Thus we have completed the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with absolutely convergent Fourier series. If*

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{D}_k(\tau) = 0$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell \nmid k$, then there exists a continuous function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the functional equation

$$\tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu.$$

Proof. We will obtain μ as a Fourier series with coefficients $b(\cdot)$ where:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} b(0) &= \frac{\hat{\tau}(0)}{1 - \lambda}, \\ b(\ell^n k) &= -\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j \hat{\tau}(\ell^j k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^j \hat{\tau}(\ell^j k) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$ and $k \neq 0$ such that ℓ does not divide k . (Compare with equation (5)).

We claim that the Fourier series

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} b(q) e^{2\pi i q \theta} = b(0) + \sum_{\ell \nmid k} \sum_{n \geq 0} b(\ell^n k) e^{2\pi i \ell^n k \theta}$$

is absolutely convergent (i.e., $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |b(q)| < \infty$). In fact, from equation (8):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |b(q)| &= |b(0)| + \sum_{\ell \nmid k} \sum_{n \geq 0} |b(\ell^n k)| \\ &\leq |b(0)| + \sum_{\ell \nmid k} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \sum_{j \geq n+1} \lambda^j |\hat{\tau}(\ell^j k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} \sum_{j \geq n+1} \lambda^j |\hat{\tau}(\ell^j k)| &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j |\hat{\tau}(\ell^{n+1} k)| \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1 - \lambda^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda} |\hat{\tau}(\ell^{n+1} k)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} |\hat{\tau}(\ell^{n+1} k)|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |b(q)| &\leq |\hat{\tau}(0)| \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{\ell \nmid k} \sum_{n \geq 0} |\hat{\tau}(\ell^{n+1} k)| \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\tau}(q)| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the series $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} b(q) e^{2\pi i q \theta}$ uniformly converges to a continuous function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is a solution of $\tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu$ since an easy computation shows that the Fourier coefficients of τ and $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu$ agree (cf. Lemma 3.2). $\square$

Definition 3.3. Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with absolutely convergent Fourier series. Define the **canonical representative** of τ by the continuous function $\tau_c : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the Fourier series $\tau_c(\theta) = \sum_{\ell \nmid k} \mathcal{D}_k(\tau) e^{2\pi i k \theta}$.

An immediate consequence of the theorem above is the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with absolutely convergent Fourier series. If τ_c is the canonical representative of τ , then τ is cohomologous to τ_c . That is, there exists a continuous function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tau = \tau_c + \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu$.

Proof. Note that for every k which is not divided by ℓ it holds that $\mathcal{D}_k(\tau - \tau_c) = 0$. Therefore we may apply the previous theorem to $\tau - \tau_c$ and obtain the corollary. $\square$

4. ANNULAR ATTRACTORS.

Our next result contains the sufficient condition for $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ to be a closed topological annulus which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1. More precisely, below we prove that if the image of the upper boundary of $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ lies higher in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ than the image of the lower boundary, then $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is an annulus:

Lemma 4.1. If $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is not a Jordan curve and

$$(9) \quad \min\{\lambda \rho^+(\theta') + \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\} \geq \max\{\lambda \rho^-(\theta') - \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a closed topological annulus. Moreover, if $\ell = 2$ and $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a closed topological annulus, then inequality (9) holds.

Remark 4.2. For all $\ell \geq 2$ and provided that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not a Jordan curve, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ to be homeomorphic to a closed annulus is that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$:

$$I(\theta) = \cup_{\theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)} A_{\lambda,\tau}(I(\theta'))$$

where $I(\theta) = \{\theta\} \times [\rho^-(\theta), \rho^+(\theta)]$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(\theta, t) / \rho^-(\theta) \leq t \leq \rho^+(\theta)\}$ and note that $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. We claim that from (9) it follows $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ and therefore $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} = \mathcal{A}$. Otherwise there would exist $t_0 \in (\rho^-(\theta_0), \rho^+(\theta_0))$ such that $(\theta_0, t_0) \notin A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A})$. Consider $\theta'_\pm \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta_0)$ such that $\rho^\pm(\theta_0) = \lambda\rho^\pm(\theta'_\pm) + \tau(\theta'_\pm)$. Since $(\theta_0, t_0) \notin A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A})$, the image of $\mathcal{A} \cap (\{\theta'_+\} \times \mathbb{R})$ should be above t_0 and the image of $\mathcal{A} \cap (\{\theta'_-\} \times \mathbb{R})$ should be below t_0 . Hence, we would have:

$$\lambda\rho^+(\theta'_-) + \tau(\theta'_-) < t_0 < \lambda\rho^-(\theta'_+) + \tau(\theta'_+)$$

which contradicts (9). Therefore $\mathcal{A} = \Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$. By the Proposition 3.1, if $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not a Jordan curve, then $\rho^+ > \rho^-$ on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} = \mathcal{A}$ is a closed topological annulus.

For $\ell = 2$, if (9) does not hold for some θ_0 , then the two intervals, $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A} \cap (\{\theta_0/2\} \times \mathbb{R}))$ and $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A} \cap (\{\theta_0/2 + 1/2\} \times \mathbb{R}))$ are disjoint and their union is $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A}) \cap (\{\theta_0\} \times \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau} \subset A_{\lambda,\tau}(\mathcal{A})$ is not an annulus. $\square$

To show that if $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a topological annulus, then $\lambda > 1/\ell$ we will need the following result.

Lemma 4.3. Assume that $\lambda \leq 1/\ell$. Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. If $\rho^+ - \rho^-$ is a constant function, then $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not an annulus.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \leq 1/\ell$ and $\rho^+ - \rho^-$ is the constant function C for some $C > 0$. We proceed by contradiction. If $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is an annulus, then

$$[\rho^-(\theta), \rho^+(\theta)] = \cup_{\theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)} J(\theta')$$

where $J(\theta') = [\lambda\rho^-(\theta') + \tau(\theta'), \lambda\rho^+(\theta') + \tau(\theta')]$. Since the length of $[\rho^-(\theta), \rho^+(\theta)]$ is C and the length of each of the ℓ intervals $J(\theta')$ is λC , it follows that $\ell\lambda C \geq C$ and therefore $\lambda = 1/\ell$. Moreover, the interior of the intervals $J(\theta')$ must be pairwise disjoint, which is impossible since the image under $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ of the loop $\{(\theta, (\rho^+(\theta) + \rho^-(\theta))/2) / \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\}$ must have self-intersections. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. If $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is a closed topological annulus, then $\lambda > 1/\ell$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \leq 1/\ell$. Let C be the maximum of $\rho^+ - \rho^-$ and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the set formed by the arguments θ such that $C = (\rho^+ - \rho^-)(\theta)$. From the previous lemma we may assume that $E \neq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, there exists $\theta_0 \notin E$ such that $\theta = \ell\theta_0 \in E$. It follows that the length of

$$S = \cup_{\theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)} [\lambda\rho^-(\theta') + \tau(\theta'), \lambda\rho^+(\theta') + \tau(\theta')]$$

is strictly less than C . Therefore, $[\rho^-(\theta), \rho^+(\theta)] \neq S$ and by Remark 4.2 we conclude that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is not an annulus. $\square$

4.1. Annular attractors when τ is not cohomologous to 0. The aim of this subsection is to prove (2) of Theorem 1 under some extra assumptions on τ .

Definition 4.5. We say that $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **cohomologous to 0** or a **coboundary** if $\tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \mu$ for some continuous $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We will show that Theorem 1 (2) holds if τ is not cohomologous to 0 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tau(\theta) d\theta = 0$. Under these stronger assumptions we can weaken the Lipschitz class hypothesis for τ :

Proposition 4.6. Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder function which is not cohomologous to 0 and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tau(\theta) d\theta = 0$. Then there exists $\lambda_0 < 1$ such that $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a closed topological annulus for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$.

The proof relies on finding appropriate periodic orbits under the multiplication by ℓ map $\mathbf{m}_\ell : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

To simplify notation we let $\int \tau = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tau(\theta) d\theta = 0$ since we will only consider integrals with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.7. If $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Hölder function which is not cohomologous to 0 and such that $\int \tau = 0$, then there exist periodic points $\theta_\pm$ of period $p_\pm$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\theta_+) + \dots + \tau(\ell^{p_+} \theta_+) &> 0 \\ \tau(\theta_-) + \dots + \tau(\ell^{p_-} \theta_-) &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

We employ the ideas contained in the proof of Theorem 9 in [2].

Proof. By considering $-\tau$ instead of τ it is sufficient to find θ_+ . For $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$ we let

$$S_n \bar{\theta} = \tau(\theta_1) + \dots + \tau(\theta_n).$$

We proceed by contradiction and suppose that for all period n points $\bar{\theta}$ we have that $S_n \bar{\theta} \leq 0$.

We claim that

$$\{S_n \bar{\theta} / \bar{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is bounded above. In fact, for any $\bar{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists a period n point $\bar{\theta}' = (\theta'_0, \theta'_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta'_n, \theta_n) \leq \frac{1}{\ell^{n-1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$S_n(\bar{\theta}) \leq S_n(\bar{\theta}) - S_n(\bar{\theta}') \leq C \cdot (1 + \dots + \ell^{-(n-1)\alpha}) \leq C \cdot \frac{\ell^\alpha}{\ell^\alpha - 1}$$

where $C > 0$ is an α -Hölder constant constant for τ .

Now $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mu(\theta_0) = \sup\{S_n \bar{\theta} / \bar{\theta} = (\theta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

is an α -Hölder function. In fact, for any $\theta_0, \theta'_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots)$ be such that $\mu(\theta_0) < S_n \bar{\theta} + \varepsilon$. Then there exists $\bar{\theta}' = (\theta'_0, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_k, \theta'_k) = \ell^{-k} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\theta'_0) \geq S_n(\bar{\theta}') &= S_n(\bar{\theta}') - S_n(\bar{\theta}) + S_n(\bar{\theta}) \\ &\geq S_n(\bar{\theta}) - C \frac{1}{\ell^\alpha - 1} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)^\alpha \\ &\geq \mu(\theta_0) - \varepsilon - C \frac{1}{\ell^\alpha - 1} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that μ is α -Hölder, in particular continuous.

From the definition of μ we conclude that

$$\mu(\ell\theta) \geq \mu(\theta) + \tau(\theta).$$

Therefore $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \mu - \tau$ is a non-negative function whose integral over $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is zero. It follows that $\tau = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \mu$ which contradicts our assumption that τ is not cohomologous to 0. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.6. For λ sufficiently close to 1 we will show that $\rho^+ > \lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_\infty$. Similarly, one can show that for λ close to 1 the inequality $\rho^- < -\lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_\infty$ holds. In view of Lemma 4.1 this is sufficient to guarantee that $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is an annulus.

Let θ_+ be a period $p = p_+$ periodic point as in the previous lemma and let $c = \tau(\theta_+) + \dots + \tau(\ell^{p-1}\theta_+) > 0$. Consider $\lambda_1 < 1$ and a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathcal{O} = \{\theta_+, \dots, \ell^{p-1}\theta_+\}$ such that:

- (a) There exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\mathbf{m}_\ell : V \rightarrow U$ is a bijection.
- (b) For all $\lambda > \lambda_1$, if $\{\theta, \ell\theta, \dots, \ell^{p-1}\theta\} \subset U$, then

$$\tau(\theta) + \lambda\tau(\ell\theta) + \dots + \lambda^{p-1}\tau(\ell^{p-1}\theta) > \frac{c}{2}.$$

Let n_0 be such that $\mathbf{m}_\ell^{n_0}(U) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then for all $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ there exists $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_0, \dots) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\theta_n \in U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence for all $\lambda > \lambda_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(\theta_0) &\geq \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k-1} \tau(\theta_k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda^{k-1} \tau(\theta_k) + \sum_{k \geq n_0} \lambda^{k-1} \tau(\theta_k) \\ &\geq -(1 + \dots + \lambda^{n_0-1})\|\tau\|_\infty + \lambda^{n_0-1}(1 + \lambda^p + \lambda^{2p} + \dots) \frac{c}{2} \\ &\geq -n_0\|\tau\|_\infty + \frac{c\lambda^{n_0-1}}{2(1-\lambda^p)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exists $\lambda_0 \in (\lambda_1, 1)$ such that for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$ we have that $\rho^+ > \lambda^{-1}\|\tau\|_\infty$. $\square$

Remark 4.8. Provided that $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder, $\int \tau = 0$ and τ is not cohomologous to zero, it follows from the previous proof that for $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$ the inequality (9) holds strictly, that is:

$$\min\{\lambda\rho^+(\theta') + \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\} > \max\{\lambda\rho^-(\theta') - \tau(\theta') / \theta' \in \mathbf{m}_\ell^{-1}(\theta)\}.$$

5. COHOMOLOGICAL OPERATORS

In this section we will study the linear operators

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu = \mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu$$

acting on Lipschitz functions $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. On one hand these linear operators are related to conjugacy classes of affine maps $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ (see Lemma 5.4).

On the other hand, in view of propositions 3.1 and 4.6, these operators are also related to topological properties of the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$. At the end of this section we will apply the properties of $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ to prove a stronger version of Theorem 1 announced in Subsection 1.3.

The key to study certain topological properties of $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ is to understand the iterated “cohomological” equations $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^n \mu = \tau$.

Definition 5.1. Consider a continuous function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Given $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ we define the multiplicity $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda)$ as follows:

- $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) = 0$, if $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu \neq \tau$ for all continuous μ .
- $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) = n$, if $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^n \mu = \tau$ for some integer $n > 0$ and some continuous μ such that $\text{mult}_{\mu - \int \mu}(\lambda) = 0$.
- $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) = \infty$, otherwise.

We will show that $\mathcal{J}'_\tau = \{\lambda \in (0, 1] / \text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) > 0\}$ is finite (counting multiplicities) provided that τ is a non-constant Lipschitz function (cf. Lemma 5.9). In particular, $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda)$ is finite for each $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Although our main interest is on maps $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ where $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, the basic properties of the operators $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ acting on any class are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. For $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the linear operator defined above acting on the class $\mathcal{C}$ of maps from $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of Lipschitz or $\mathcal{C}^r$ maps for some $r \in [0, \infty] \cup \{\omega\}$. Then:

- (1) $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2} = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1}$ for all $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq 1$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \mu_1 = \tau$, then $\text{mult}_{\mu_1}(\lambda) = \text{mult}_\tau(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$ and $\text{mult}_{\mu_1}(\lambda_1) = \text{mult}_\tau(\lambda_1) - 1$ provided that $\int \mu_1 = 0$ whenever $\lambda_1 = 1$.
- (3) $\ker \mathcal{L}_1 = \{\text{constant maps}\}$ and $\ker \mathcal{L}_\lambda = \{0\}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.
- (4) If $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu = \tau$ and $\int \tau = 0$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $\int \mu = 0$.
- (5) If $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda \mu = \tau$, then μ is of class $\mathcal{C}$.
- (6) If $\tau \in \text{Image } \mathcal{L}_1$, then $\int \tau = 0$.
- (7) $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(\{\text{constant maps}\}) = \{\text{constant maps}\}$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Statement (1) is a straightforward computation and statements (4) and (6) are an immediate consequence of the fact that the Lebesgue measure in the circle is $\mathbf{m}_\ell$ -invariant (i.e., $\int \tau \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell = \int \tau$ for all continuous $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$).

For (2), suppose that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \mu_1 = \tau$ and just note that if, for some $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$, there exists μ such that $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu = \tau$, then $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(\mu - \mu_1)/(\lambda - \lambda_1) = \mu_1$.

(3) If $\mu(\ell\theta) - \lambda\mu(\theta) = 0$, then $\mu(\ell^n\theta) = \lambda^n\mu(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and all $n \geq 0$. Let θ_0 be such that $\{\ell^n\theta_0\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\mu(\theta) = 0$ when $\lambda < 1$ and $\mu(\theta) = \mu(\theta_0)$ when $\lambda = 1$.

(5) As in the proof of Proposition 3.1 we pass to the universal cover $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. That is, if $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$ then $\tilde{\mu}(\ell s) - \lambda\tilde{\mu}(s) = \tilde{\tau}(s)$ where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \pi$ and $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \circ \pi$. It follows that $\tilde{\mu}(s) = \tilde{\tau}(\ell^{-1}s) + \lambda\tilde{\tau}(\ell^{-2}s) + \dots$ is a class $\mathcal{C}$ map from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and therefore $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also of class $\mathcal{C}$.

Since the image under $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ of the constant function τ equal to 1 is the constant function μ equal to $1 - \lambda$, statement (7) follows. $\square$

Remark 5.3. From (1) and (2) it follows that there exists a continuous μ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu = \tau$ if and only if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ is a collection of elements of $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$, maybe with repetitions, but such that the number of occurrences of $\lambda \in \mathcal{J}'_\tau$ is not greater than its multiplicity.

Now we prove some basic conjugacy relations among affine maps of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map of class $\mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C}$ is the class of Lipschitz or $\mathcal{C}^r$ maps for some $r \in (0, \infty] \cup \{\omega\}$. Then:*

(1) *For all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, the maps $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda, \tau+c}$ are conjugate via an affine map.*

(2) *If $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda_0 \mu = \tau$ for some continuous map $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1]$, then $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda, \mu}$ are $\mathcal{C}$ -conjugate for all $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$.*

Proof. For (1) note that $A_{\lambda, \tau} \circ h = h \circ A_{\lambda, \tau+c}$ where $h(\theta, t) = (\theta, t - c/(1 - \lambda))$.

For (2), if $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda_0 \mu = \tau$ for some continuous map μ , then μ is automatically of class $\mathcal{C}$ and $h(\theta, t) = (\theta, (\lambda - \lambda_0)t + \mu(\theta))$ is such that $h \circ A_{\lambda, \mu} = A_{\lambda, \tau} \circ h$ for all $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *If $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, then*

$$\|\mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu\|_L \geq (\ell - \lambda) \|\mu\|_L$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Let μ be Lipschitz and let $\tau = \mathcal{L}_\lambda \mu$. Consider $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_k) \in \mathcal{S}$ and observe that

$$\mu(\theta_0) = \tau(\theta_1) + \lambda \tau(\theta_2) + \dots + \lambda^n \tau(\theta_{n+1}) - \lambda^{n+1} \mu(\theta_{n+1}).$$

For $\theta'_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ let $\bar{\theta}' = (\theta'_k)$ be such that

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_k, \theta'_k) = \frac{\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)}{\ell^k}.$$

It follows that for all $n \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(\theta_0) - \mu(\theta'_0)| &\leq \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0) \|\tau\|_L \left(\frac{1}{\ell} + \frac{\lambda}{\ell^2} + \dots + \frac{\lambda^n}{\ell^{n+1}} \right) + \\ &\quad \lambda^{n+1} |\mu(\theta_{n+1}) - \mu(\theta'_{n+1})| \\ &\leq \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0) \|\tau\|_L \frac{1}{\ell - \lambda} + \lambda^{n+1} |\mu(\theta_{n+1}) - \mu(\theta'_{n+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\mu(\theta_0) - \mu(\theta'_0)| \leq \|\tau\|_L \frac{1}{\ell - \lambda} \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta_0, \theta'_0)$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz map such that $\int \tau = 0$. The set*

$$\mathcal{J}'_\tau = \{\lambda \in (0, 1] / \text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) > 0\}$$

is closed.

Note that from Proposition 3.1 it follows that

$$\mathcal{J}'_\tau \cap (0, 1) = \mathcal{J}_\tau = \{\lambda \in (0, 1) / \Omega_{\lambda, \tau} \text{ is a Jordan curve}\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu_n : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu_n \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda_n \mu = \tau$. It follows that $\int \mu_n = 0$ and $\|\mu_n\|_L \leq \|\tau\|_L$ for all n . Therefore,

$\{\mu_n\}$ is an equicontinuous and uniformly bounded family. Hence, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\{\mu_n\}$ converges to some continuous function μ which necessarily satisfies the equation $\mu \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \lambda\mu = \tau$, that is, $\lambda \in \mathcal{J}'_\tau$. $\square$

We now show that a Lipschitz function τ which is not constant is not “infinitely” cohomologous to 0 or equivalently that $\lambda = 1$ has finite multiplicity (see Definition 5.1).

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-constant Lipschitz function such that $\int \tau = 0$. Then there exists $m \geq 0$ and a Lipschitz function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_1^m \mu = \tau$ and $\int \mu = 0$ but $\mathcal{L}_1 \rho \neq \mu$ for all Lipschitz functions ρ .*

Proof. Suppose that for $0 \leq n \leq m$ there exist $\mu_n : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^n \mu_n = \mu_0 = \tau.$$

By Lemma 5.2, maybe after adding a constant to μ_m , we may assume that $\int \mu_n = 0$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_1 \mu_n = \mu_{n-1}$. Under the assumption that $\tau = \mu_0$ is not identically 0 we will exhibit an upper bound for m in terms of the Fourier coefficients of τ .

The Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\mu}_n(k)$ are uniformly bounded. In fact, by Lemma 5.5, $\|\mu_n\|_L \leq \|\tau\|_L$ and therefore

$$(10) \quad |\widehat{\mu}_n(k)| \leq \frac{\|\tau\|_L}{4k}$$

for all $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq n \leq m$.

Since μ_0 is not identically 0, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell \nmid k$ and $p \geq 0$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^j k) &= 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < p, \\ \widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^p k) &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the $\ell^j k$ -th Fourier coefficient to $\mu_n \circ \mathbf{m}_\ell - \mu_n = \mu_{n-1}$:

$$(11) \quad \widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^{j-1} k) - \widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) = \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(\ell^j k) \text{ for } j \geq 1,$$

$$(12) \quad -\widehat{\mu}_n(k) = \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(k),$$

for $1 \leq n \leq m$.

By induction in $p \geq 0$, it is easy to deduce from (11) and (12) that if $\widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^j k) = 0$ for $0 \leq j < p$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) &= 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j < p, \\ -\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^p k) &= \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(\ell^p k), \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq n \leq m$. Therefore, from (11), it follows that

$$m(-1)^{n-1} \widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^p k) - \widehat{\mu}_m(\ell^{p+1} k) = (-1)^{n+1} \widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^{p+1} k).$$

Hence,

$$m \leq \frac{|\widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^{p+1} k)| + |\widehat{\mu}_m(\ell^{p+1} k)|}{|\widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^p k)|}$$

and by (10) we obtain an upper bound for m :

$$(13) \quad m \leq \frac{\|\tau\|_L + 4k\ell^{p+1}|\widehat{\tau}(\ell^{p+1} k)|}{4k\ell^{p+1}|\widehat{\tau}(\ell^p k)|}.$$

$\square$

Below we record the explicit bound obtained in the previous proof.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function such that:*

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\tau}(\ell^j k) &= 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j < p \\ \widehat{\tau}(\ell^p k) &\neq 0\end{aligned}$$

for some integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \geq 0$ with $\ell \nmid k$. If there exists $m \geq 0$ and a Lipschitz function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_1^m \mu = \tau,$$

Then

$$m \leq \frac{\|\tau\|_L + 4k\ell^{p+1}|\widehat{\tau}(\ell^{p+1}k)|}{4k\ell^{p+1}|\widehat{\tau}(\ell^p k)|}.$$

Lemma 5.9 (Main). *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function which is not constant. Then $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ is finite, counting multiplicities.*

Moreover, consider the finite collection $0 < \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \leq 1$ consisting of elements of $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ where the number of repetitions of each element of $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ coincides with its multiplicity. Then there exists a Lipschitz function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tau = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_m} \mu,$$

and $\mu \neq \mathcal{L}_\lambda \rho$ for all Lipschitz maps $\rho : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and all $\lambda \leq 1$. Furthermore, if $\int \tau = 0$, then μ may be chosen so that $\int \mu = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.3 it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ is finite, counting multiplicities. In view of Lemma 5.2 (7), $\text{mult}_\tau(\lambda) = \text{mult}_{\tau-c}(\lambda)$ for all $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and since the multiplicity of $\lambda = 1$ is always finite (cf. Lemma 5.7) it follows that $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ is finite if and only if $\mathcal{J}'_{\tau-\int \tau}$ is. Therefore we may assume that $\int \tau = 0$.

We proceed by contradiction and suppose $\mathcal{J}'_\tau$ is infinite or that it contains an element with infinite multiplicity. In both cases, for all $n \geq 1$ there exist $0 < \lambda_n \leq 1$ and $\mu_n : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz such that

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_n} \mu_n = \tau.$$

By the previous lemma and by Lemma 5.2 (1), the number of occurrences of $\lambda_n = 1$ is finite. In particular, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that $0 < \lambda_n < 1$ for all $n > N$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_{N+1}} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_{N+k}} (\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_N} \mu_n) = \tau.$$

Therefore, after relabelling, we may assume that for all $n \geq 1$ there exist $\lambda_n < 1$ and $\mu_n : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz such that (14) holds. Note that since $\int \tau = 0$, then $\int \mu_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see Lemma 5.2 (4)).

By Lemma 5.6, it follows that there exists $\lambda_0 < 1$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since

$$\|\tau\|_L \geq (\ell - \lambda_1) \cdots (\ell - \lambda_n) \|\mu_n\|_L,$$

we have that $\|\mu_n\|_L \leq \|\tau\|_L$ for all n . Therefore, for all $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|\widehat{\mu}_n(k)| \leq \|\tau\|_L,$$

where $\widehat{\mu}_n(k)$ denotes the k -th Fourier coefficient of μ_n . Now by Lemma 5.2 (3), for all $n \geq 1$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_n} \mu_n = \mu_{n-1}$$

where $\mu_0 = \tau$. Hence, $\mu_n(\ell\theta) - \lambda_n\mu_n(\theta) = \mu_{n-1}(\theta)$. Therefore, for all $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell \nmid k$:

$$(15) \quad \widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^{j-1}k) - \lambda_n\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) = \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(\ell^j k) \text{ for } j \geq 1,$$

$$(16) \quad -\lambda_n\widehat{\mu}_n(k) = \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(k).$$

Fix $k \neq 0$ such that ℓ does not divide k . We show by induction on $j \geq 0$ that $\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

For $j = 0$, by equation (16), $\widehat{\mu}_0(k) = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \widehat{\mu}_n(k)$. Since $\widehat{\mu}_n(k)$ are uniformly bounded it follows that $\widehat{\mu}_0(k) = 0$ and therefore $\widehat{\mu}_n(k) = 0$ for all n .

Let $j \geq 1$ and suppose that $\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^{j-1}k) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from equation (15) that $-\lambda_n\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) = \widehat{\mu}_{n-1}(\ell^j k)$. Hence, $\widehat{\mu}_0(\ell^j k) = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k)$. Again using that $\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k)$ are uniformly bounded, it follows that $\widehat{\mu}_n(\ell^j k) = 0$ for all n .

We conclude that $\tau = \mu_0$ is constant which is a contradiction. $\square$

We now state and prove a stronger version of Theorem 1. Recall that $\mathcal{J}_\tau$ denotes the set of $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a Jordan curve.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz. Then there exists a Lipschitz map $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) $\mathcal{J}_\mu = \emptyset$ and for all $\lambda \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathcal{J}_\tau$ the maps $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ and $A_{\lambda, \mu}$ are topologically conjugate.

(2) $\int \mu = 0$ and μ is not cohomologous to 0.

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 5.4 (1), after replacing τ by $\tau - \int \tau$, we may assume that $\int \tau = 0$. In view of Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 5.4 (2), statements (1) and (2) hold for the function $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the previous lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. For (1) just note that Lemma 5.9 implies that $\mathcal{J}_\tau$ is finite. For (2) let $\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as in Theorem 3 and apply Proposition 4.6. $\square$

6. CONTINUITY OF THE UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDARIES.

Perturbation of affine maps $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ also posses an attractor for which the upper and lower boundaries may be defined (see Definition 6.1 below). The aim of this section is to show that the upper and lower boundaries of $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ vary continuously under Lipschitz perturbations of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$.

Given an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ with compact closure we denote by $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ the set formed by all the Lipschitz maps $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the Lipschitz metric dist_L . More precisely,

$$\text{dist}_L(F_0, F_1) = \|F_0 - F_1\|_\infty + \|f_0 - f_1\|_L + \|g_0 - g_1\|_L$$

where $F_i(\theta, t) = (f_i(\theta, t), g_i(\theta, t))$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Note that the set $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ of all $F \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $F(\overline{U}) \subset U$ is open in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 6.1. *Suppose that $U \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ is an open set with compact closure. For any $F \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ we let $\Omega_F = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} F^n(\overline{U})$ be the attractor of F . The **upper and lower boundaries of Ω_F** are the graphs of*

$$\rho_F^\pm : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$$

where

$$\rho_F^+(\theta) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid (\theta, t) \in \Omega_F\}$$

$$\rho_F^-(\theta) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid (\theta, t) \in \Omega_F\}$$

if $\Omega_F \cap (\{\theta\} \times \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho^\pm(\theta) = \mp\infty$ otherwise.

We may now state the main result of this section.

Proposition 6.2. *Consider $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and a Lipschitz function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $A_{\lambda, \tau}(\overline{U_0}) \subset U_0$ where $U_0 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \text{Lip}(\overline{U_0}, U_0)$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the following hold:*

- (1) $\rho_F^\pm : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are well defined Lipschitz functions.
- (2) $\|\rho_F^\pm - \rho_{A_{\lambda, \tau}}^\pm\|_\infty < \epsilon$.

6.1. Lipschitz maps in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this subsection we consider $\bar{V} = \mathbb{R} \times [-T_0, T_0] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and study the action of Lipschitz maps $\tilde{F} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ on the graphs of Lipschitz functions $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$. The results obtained here will be applied in the proof of Proposition 6.2 to the lift of maps F which are Lipschitz close to $A_{\lambda, \tau}$.

Throughout this subsection we consider a map:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F} : \quad \bar{V} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (s, t) &\mapsto (\tilde{f}(s, t), \tilde{g}(s, t)) \end{aligned}$$

and suppose that there exist positive constants $\ell_0, \lambda_0, C_{1,2}$ and $C_{2,1}$ such that:

$$(17) \quad \tilde{f}(s_0, t) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t) \geq \ell_0(s_0 - s_1),$$

$$(18) \quad |\tilde{g}(s_0, t) - \tilde{g}(s_1, t)| \leq C_{2,1}|s_0 - s_1|,$$

$$(19) \quad |\tilde{f}(s, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s, t_1)| \leq C_{1,2}|t_0 - t_1|,$$

$$(20) \quad |\tilde{g}(s, t_0) - \tilde{g}(s, t_1)| \leq \lambda_0|t_0 - t_1|,$$

for all $s_0 > s_1$ and $t, t_0, t_1 \in [-T_0, T_0]$.

The above conditions are satisfied by the lift $\tilde{A}_{\lambda, \tau}(s, t) = (\ell s, \lambda t + \tau \circ \pi(s))$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ where $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Observe that in this case we may choose $\ell_0 = \ell$, $C_{2,1} = \|\tau\|_L$, $C_{1,2} = 0$, and $\lambda_0 = \lambda$.

Lemma 6.3. *If $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \bar{V}$ is the graph of a Lipschitz function $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$ such that $\|\tilde{\rho}\|_L < \ell_0 C_{1,2}^{-1}$, then $\tilde{F}(\tilde{\gamma})$ is the graph of a C -Lipschitz function $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho}) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where*

$$C = \frac{C_{2,1} + \lambda_0 \|\tilde{\rho}\|_L}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2} \|\tilde{\rho}\|_L}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $s_0 > s_1$. For $i = 0, 1$, let $t_i = \tilde{\rho}(s_i)$ and $(s'_i, t'_i) = \tilde{F}(s_i, t_i)$. Then we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} s'_0 - s'_1 &= \tilde{f}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_1) \\ &= (\tilde{f}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_0, t_1)) + (\tilde{f}(s_0, t_1) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_1)) \\ &\geq -C_{1,2}|t_0 - t_1| + \ell_0(s_0 - s_1) \\ &> -\ell_0|s_0 - s_1| + \ell_0(s_0 - s_1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\tilde{F}(\tilde{\gamma})$ is the graph of some function. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |t'_0 - t'_1| &= |\tilde{g}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{g}(s_1, t_1)| \\ &= |\tilde{g}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{g}(s_0, t_1) + \tilde{g}(s_0, t_1) - \tilde{g}(s_1, t_1)| \\ &\leq \lambda_0 |t_0 - t_1| + C_{2,1} |s_0 - s_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|t'_0 - t'_1|}{|s'_0 - s'_1|} &\leq \frac{\lambda_0 |t_0 - t_1| + C_{2,1} |s_0 - s_1|}{-C_{1,2} |t_0 - t_1| + \ell_0 |s_0 - s_1|} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{2,1} + \lambda_0 \|\tilde{\rho}\|_L}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2} \|\tilde{\rho}\|_L} \end{aligned}$$

and the Lemma follows. $\square$

Definition 6.4. We say that a Lipschitz map $\tilde{F} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ **preserves C -Lipschitz graphs** with constants $\ell_0, \lambda_0, C_{1,2}$ and $C_{2,1}$ if (17)–(20) hold and

$$\frac{C_{2,1} + \lambda_0 C}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2} C} \leq C < \frac{\ell_0}{C_{1,2}}.$$

In particular, if $\tilde{F} : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ preserves C -Lipschitz graphs, then $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}$ acts on the set of C -Lipschitz functions $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$ (see Lemma 6.3).

Now we compute a Lipschitz constant for $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}$ with respect to the $\mathcal{C}^0$ -norm.

Lemma 6.5. Let $C > 0$ be such that $C < \ell_0 C_{1,2}^{-1}$. Suppose that $\tilde{\rho}_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$ are C -Lipschitz functions where $i = 0, 1$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho}_0) - \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho}_1)\|_\infty \leq \frac{\lambda_0 \ell_0 + C_{2,1} C_{1,2}}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2} C} \|\tilde{\rho}_0 - \tilde{\rho}_1\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Consider $s' \in \mathbb{R}$. For $i = 0, 1$, let $\tilde{\rho}'_i = \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho}_i)$, $t'_i = \tilde{\rho}'_i(s')$. Also we let (s_i, t_i) be the points in the graph of $\tilde{\rho}_i$ such that $\tilde{F}(s_i, t_i) = (s', t'_i)$. We may assume that $s_1 > s_0$.

We must find an upper bound for $|t'_1 - t'_0|$ in terms of $|\hat{t}_1 - t_0|$ where $\hat{t}_1 = \tilde{\rho}_1(s_0)$.

Since

$$0 = \tilde{f}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_1) = \tilde{f}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_0) + \tilde{f}(s_1, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_1),$$

we have that

$$\tilde{f}(s_1, t_0) - \tilde{f}(s_0, t_0) = |\tilde{f}(s_1, t_1) - \tilde{f}(s_1, t_0)|.$$

Therefore,

$$(21) \quad \ell_0(s_1 - s_0) \leq C_{1,2} |t_1 - t_0|.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |t'_1 - t'_0| &= |\tilde{g}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{g}(s_1, t_1)| \\ &\leq |\tilde{g}(s_0, t_0) - \tilde{g}(s_0, t_1)| + |\tilde{g}(s_0, t_1) - \tilde{g}(s_1, t_1)| \\ (22) \quad &\leq \lambda_0 |t_0 - t_1| + C_{2,1} |s_0 - s_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |t_1 - t_0| &\leq |\hat{t}_1 - t_0| + |\hat{t}_1 - t_1| \\ &\leq |\hat{t}_1 - t_0| + C |s_0 - s_1|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (21) that

$$|t_1 - t_0| \leq |\hat{t}_1 - t_0| + CC_{1,2}\ell_0^{-1}|t_1 - t_0|.$$

Hence,

$$(23) \quad |t_1 - t_0| \leq (1 - CC_{1,2}\ell_0^{-1})^{-1}|\hat{t}_1 - t_0|.$$

Combining (21) and (22) we obtain

$$(24) \quad |t'_1 - t'_0| \leq (\lambda_0 + C_{2,1}C_{1,2}\ell_0^{-1})|t_1 - t_0|.$$

The lemma now follows directly from (23) and (24). $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *For $i = 0, 1$, let $\tilde{F}_i(s, t) = (\tilde{f}_i(s, t), \tilde{g}_i(s, t))$ be maps in $\text{Lip}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\tilde{F}_i$ preserve C -Lipschitz graphs with constants $\ell_0, \lambda_0, C_{1,2}$ and $C_{2,1}$. If $\|\tilde{F}_0 - \tilde{F}_1\|_\infty < \epsilon$, then*

$$\|\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}_0}(\tilde{\rho}) - \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}_1}(\tilde{\rho})\|_\infty \leq (1 + C)\epsilon$$

for all C -Lipschitz functions $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$.

Proof. Consider $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $t_0 = \tilde{\rho}(s_0)$. Also let $(s'_i, t'_i) = F_i(s_0, t_0)$ where $i = 0, 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\rho}'_1(s'_1) - \tilde{\rho}'_0(s'_1)| &\leq |\tilde{\rho}'_1(s'_1) - \tilde{\rho}'_0(s'_0)| + |\tilde{\rho}'_0(s'_0) - \tilde{\rho}'_0(s'_1)| \\ &\leq \epsilon + C|s'_0 - s'_1| \leq \epsilon + C\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{F_i}(\tilde{\rho}) = \tilde{\rho}'_i$. $\square$

6.2. The upper and lower boundaries as fixed points. Throughout this subsection we let $T_0 > 0$ and $U_0 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$. Here we consider a map $F \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U_0}, U_0)$ and show that under certain conditions the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor Ω_F are Lipschitz graphs.

Lemma 6.7. *Let $F \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U_0}, U_0)$ be locally an orientation preserving homeomorphism which acts as multiplication by $\ell \geq 1$ on the first homology of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$. Denote by $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{R} \times [-T_0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$ a lift of F to the universal cover and suppose that $\tilde{F}$ preserves C -Lipschitz maps with constants $\ell_0, \lambda_0, C_{1,2}, C_{2,1}$. Assume that:*

$$\frac{\lambda_0\ell_0 + C_{2,1}C_{1,2}}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2}C} < 1.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}_F^+(\tilde{\rho})(s) = \max\{\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s), \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s+1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s+\ell-1)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_F^-(\tilde{\rho})(s) = \min\{\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s), \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s+1), \dots, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{\rho})(s+\ell-1)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ are contractions in the space of C -Lipschitz functions $\tilde{\rho} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-T_0, T_0]$ endowed with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. The fixed points $\tilde{\rho}_F^\pm$ of $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ are 1-periodic and the graphs of

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_F^\pm : \quad \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \theta = \pi(s) &\mapsto \tilde{\rho}_F^\pm(s) \end{aligned}$$

are the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor Ω_F .

Proof. Since the maximum and minimum of C -Lipschitz functions are also C -Lipschitz, from Lemma 6.3 it follows that $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm(\tilde{\rho})$ are C -Lipschitz whenever $\tilde{\rho}$ is C -Lipschitz. By Lemma 6.5, the operators $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ act as contraction maps. Our hypothesis that F acts as multiplication by ℓ on the first homology group translates to the universal cover as $\tilde{F}(s+1, t) = \tilde{F}(s, t) + (\ell, 0)$. It follows that $\mathcal{T}_F^\pm$ preserve the closed subset of 1-periodic C -Lipschitz function. Therefore, the fixed points $\tilde{\rho}_F^\pm$ are 1-periodic and we let $\rho_F^\pm(\pi(s)) = \tilde{\rho}_F^\pm(s)$.

We now show that ρ_F^+ is the upper boundary of Ω_F . Note that the graph γ_F^+ of ρ_F^+ is invariant under F . That is $F(\gamma_F^+) \supset \gamma_F^+$. Therefore $\gamma_F^+ \subset \Omega_F$. It is sufficient to show that Ω_F is below γ_F^+ . For this let $\tilde{\rho}_0$ be the constant function T_0 on $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\tilde{\rho}_n = \mathcal{T}_F^+(\tilde{\rho}_0)$. From our previous discussion and the fact that $\tilde{\rho}_0$ is 1-periodic, we conclude that $\tilde{\rho}_n$ projects to a function $\rho_n : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with graph γ_n . Since F is locally an orientation preserving homeomorphism, $F^n(\overline{U_0})$ has as upper boundary the curve γ_n . Taking into consideration that ρ_n converges to ρ , it follows that $\cap F^n(\overline{U_0})$ is below γ_F^+ . A similar argument shows that ρ_F^- is the lower boundary of Ω_F . $\square$

The analogue of Lemma 4.1 also holds in this context. More precisely:

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that $F \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U_0}, U_0)$ is locally an orientation preserving homeomorphism such that the upper and lower boundaries of Ω_F are the graphs $\gamma_F^\pm$ of functions $\rho_F^\pm : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$*

$$(25) \quad \min\{t / (\theta, t) \in F(\gamma_F^+)\} > \max\{t / (\theta, t) \in F(\gamma_F^-)\},$$

then Ω_F is a closed topological annulus. Moreover, if $\ell = 2$ and for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$

$$(26) \quad \min\{t / (\theta, t) \in F(\gamma_F^+)\} < \max\{t / (\theta, t) \in F(\gamma_F^-)\},$$

then Ω_F is not a closed topological annulus.

We omit the proof of this Lemma since it is very similar to that of Lemma 4.1.

6.3. Proof of Proposition 6.2. Since Lipschitz perturbations of bilipschitz maps are bilipschitz, all F in a sufficiently small neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U_0}, U_0)$ are locally orientation preserving homeomorphisms. Consider a small real number $\delta > 0$ and shrink $\mathcal{U}$, if necessary, so that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a unique lift $\tilde{F} : \mathbb{R} \times [-T_0, T_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ of F such that $\|\tilde{F} - \tilde{A}_{\lambda, \tau}\|_\infty < \delta$, where $\tilde{A}_{\lambda, \tau}(s, t) = (\ell s, \lambda t + \tau \circ \pi(s))$. After further shrinking of $\mathcal{U}$, if necessary, we may assume that there exist positive constants: ℓ_0 slightly smaller than ℓ , λ_0 close to λ , $C_{2,1}$ close to $\|\tau\|_L$, and $C_{1,2}$ sufficiently small such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the corresponding lift $\tilde{F}$ preserves C -Lipschitz graphs with constants $\ell_0, \lambda_0, C_{2,1}, C_{1,2}$ and the following inequalities also hold:

$$C > (\ell - \lambda)^{-1} \|\tau\|_L \geq \|\rho_{A_{\lambda, \tau}}^\pm\|_L,$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda_0 \ell_0 + C_{2,1} C_{1,2}}{\ell_0 - C_{1,2} C} < 1.$$

Let $\tilde{\rho}^+$ be the lift of $\rho_{A_{\lambda,\tau}}^+$. By Lemma 6.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{T}_F^+)^n(\tilde{\rho}^+) - \tilde{\rho}^+\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|(\mathcal{T}_F^+)^{k+1}(\tilde{\rho}^+) - (\mathcal{T}_F^+)^k(\tilde{\rho}^+)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{\lambda}^k\right)(1+C)\delta \leq \frac{1+C}{1-\hat{\lambda}}\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta > 0$ so that $\frac{1+C}{1-\hat{\lambda}}\delta = \epsilon$ it follows that $\tilde{\rho}_F^+ = \lim(\mathcal{T}_F^+)^n(\tilde{\rho}^+)$ is ϵ -close to $\tilde{\rho}^+$. Similarly, we obtain that $\tilde{\rho}_F^-$ is ϵ -close to $\tilde{\rho}^-$. $\square$

7. EXAMPLES.

7.1. Perturbation of affine maps with annular attractors. In the previous section we showed that the upper and lower boundaries of the attractor $\Omega_{\lambda,\tau}$ of an affine map $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ moves continuously under Lipschitz perturbations. In view of Lemma 4.1, Remark 4.8 and Theorem 3 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function. Then there exists $\lambda_0 \in (1/\ell, 1)$ such that for any $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$ and for all F in an appropriate neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ we have that Ω_F is an annulus where $U = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0)$ is such that $A_{\lambda,\tau} \in \text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$.*

We will apply the above proposition to exhibit annular attractors in an explicit family of endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. More precisely, we consider the family

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda,c} : \mathbb{C}^* &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\mapsto (\lambda|z| + 1 - \lambda) \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} + c, \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Observe that $f_{\lambda,0}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |z| > 1 - \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ and $f_{\lambda,0}$ acts as multiplication by 2 on the arguments and as the affine contraction $\lambda|z| + 1 - \lambda$ on radial lines. Also, $f_{\lambda,0}(\mathbb{S}^1) = \mathbb{S}^1$ where $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{|z| = 1\}$. The map $f_{\lambda,c}$ may be written as the postcomposition of $f_{\lambda,0}$ by the translation $z \mapsto z + c$. Therefore, $f_{\lambda,c}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |z| > 1 - \lambda\} + c$.

This family $f_{\lambda,c}$ is closely related to the well known and extensively studied quadratic family $Q_c(z) = z^2 + c$. The action of Q_0 as $|z|^2$ on radial lines has been replaced by an affine contraction.

Our main interest here will be on maps $f_{\lambda,c}$ for $|c|$ small. For $|c| < 1 - \lambda$, by the above considerations we have that $f_c(\mathbb{C}^*) \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$V = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^* / \exp(-\frac{|c|}{1-\lambda}) < |z| < \exp(\frac{|c|}{1-\lambda})\}$$

is a trapping region for $f_{\lambda,c}$ when $|c|$ is sufficiently small. That is, $f_{\lambda,c}(\overline{V}) \subset V$. We say that

$$\Omega_{\lambda,c} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f_{\lambda,c}^n(\overline{V})$$

is the attractor for the dynamics of $f_{\lambda,c}$.

Proposition 7.2. *There exists $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that if $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$, then the attractor of $f_{\lambda,c}$ is homeomorphic to a closed topological annulus for all c in a punctured neighborhood of the origin (which depends on λ).*

The proof of this fact relies on considering an appropriate rescaling of $f_{\lambda,c}$ as c goes to 0. Namely, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \\ (\theta, t) &\mapsto \exp(2\pi(t + i\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

and for $|c| < 1 - \lambda$, let

$$\widehat{f}_{\lambda,c} = \iota^{-1} \circ f_{\lambda,c} \circ \iota.$$

Lemma 7.3. *For $\eta > 0$ let $h_\eta(\theta, t) = (\theta, \eta t)$. Then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$h_\eta^{-1} \circ \widehat{f}_{\lambda, \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)} \circ h_\eta$$

converges, as $\eta \rightarrow 0$, in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology to:

$$F_{\lambda,\alpha}(\theta, t) = (2\theta, \lambda t + \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos 2\pi(\alpha - 2\theta)).$$

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Let $c(\eta) = \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ and

$$(\Phi_\eta(\theta, t), \Psi_\eta(\theta, t)) = \widehat{f}_{\lambda, c(\eta)} \circ h_\eta(\theta, t).$$

Therefore,

$$h_\eta^{-1} \circ \widehat{f}_{\lambda, \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)} \circ h_\eta = (\Phi_\eta, \frac{\Psi_\eta}{\eta}).$$

We must show that $\Phi_\eta(\theta, t) \rightarrow 2\theta$ and that

$$\frac{\Psi_\eta(\theta, t)}{\eta} \rightarrow \lambda t + (2\pi)^{-1} \cos(\alpha - 2\theta)$$

in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology, as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. From $f_{\lambda,c} \circ \iota \circ h_\eta = \iota \circ (\Phi_\eta, \frac{\Psi_\eta}{\eta})$ we obtain:

$$(27) \quad (\lambda \exp(2\pi \eta t) + 1 - \lambda) \exp(2\pi i 2\theta) + \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha) = \exp(2\pi(\Psi_\eta(\theta, t) + i\Phi_\eta(\theta, t))).$$

It follows that

$$(28) \quad \exp(2 \cdot 2\pi i(\Phi_\eta(\theta, t) - 2\theta)) = \frac{\lambda \exp(2\pi \eta t) + 1 - \lambda + \eta \exp(2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta))}{\lambda \exp(2\pi \eta t) + 1 - \lambda + \eta \exp(-2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta))}$$

converges to the constant function 1 in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology for maps from $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore,

$$\Phi_\eta(\theta, t) - 2\theta \rightarrow 0$$

in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology for maps from $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(2 \cdot 2\pi i(\Phi_\eta(\theta, t) - 2\theta))^{1/\eta} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \eta(2\pi \lambda t + \exp(2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta)) + O(\eta))}{1 + \eta(2\pi \lambda t + \exp(-2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta)) + O(\eta))} \right)^{1/\eta} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\exp(2\pi \lambda t) + \exp(2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta))}{\exp(2\pi \lambda t) + \exp(-2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta))} \text{ as } \eta \rightarrow 0 \\ (29) \quad &= \exp(2 \cdot i \sin 2\pi(\alpha - 2\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

where the limit is in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology. From (27),

$$\exp(2\pi \frac{\Psi_\eta(\theta, t)}{\eta}) = (1 + \eta(2\pi \lambda t + \exp(2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta)) + O(\eta)))^{1/\eta} \cdot \exp(2\pi i(2\theta - \Phi_\eta(\theta, t)))^{1/\eta},$$

which, in view of (29) converges to

$$\exp(2\pi \lambda t + \exp(2\pi i(\alpha - 2\theta))) \cdot \exp(-i \sin 2\pi(\alpha - 2\theta)) = \exp(2\pi \lambda t + \cos 2\pi(\alpha - 2\theta))$$

in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology. It follows that

$$\frac{\Psi_\eta}{\eta} \rightarrow \lambda t + \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos 2\pi(\alpha - 2\theta)$$

which establishes the Lemma. $\square$

From Proposition 7.1, there exists λ_0 such that for all $\lambda \in [\lambda_0, 1)$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\{F_{\lambda, \alpha} / \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\} \subset \text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ so that the attractor Ω_F is an annulus for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ where $U = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-(1-\lambda)^{-1}, (1-\lambda)^{-1})$. Since for η sufficiently small, say $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$h_\eta^{-1} \circ \widehat{f}_{\lambda, \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)} \circ h_\eta \in \mathcal{U},$$

it follows that the attractor set of $\widehat{f}_{\lambda, \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)} \in \text{Lip}(\overline{W}, W)$ is an annulus, where $W = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-(1-\lambda)^{-1}\eta, (1-\lambda)^{-1}\eta)$. Therefore, the attractor of $f_{\lambda, \eta \exp(2\pi i \alpha)}$ is an annulus for all $0 < \eta < \eta_0$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which proves the claim of Proposition 7.2.

7.2. With interior and not an annulus.

Proposition 7.4. *For all $\lambda > 1/2$ there exists a $\mathcal{C}^\omega$ function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the attractor set $\Omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}(\theta, t) = (2\theta, \lambda t + \tau(\theta))$ has non-empty interior and it is not a topological annulus. Moreover, this property is robust. That is, let $U = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (-T_0, T_0) \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ be such that $A_{\lambda, \tau}(\overline{U}) \subset U$. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $A_{\lambda, \tau}$ in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the attractor set Ω_F has non-empty interior and it is not a topological annulus.*

A similar example can be constructed for all $\lambda > 1/\ell$ and $\ell > 2$. Here we specialize in the case $\ell = 2$ for the sake of simplicity of the exposition.

Construction of the example. We endow $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ with its standard orientation and use interval notation accordingly. Let $p \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda + \dots + \lambda^{p-1} > 1$ and consider $\eta < 1$ such that:

$$(30) \quad (\lambda + \dots + \lambda^{p-1})\eta > 1.$$

Consider a period p periodic cycle $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{p-1} = \mathbf{m}_2^{p-1}(\theta_0)$ with subindices mod p and respecting cyclic order such that $|I_0| = |(\theta_0, \theta_1)| > 1/2$ where $|I|$ denotes the length of the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. For example, let $\theta_1 = 1/(2^p - 1)$.

Consider positive constants $T_0, T_1, \delta, \epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{p-1}, \lambda'$ and a natural number $N \geq 2$ such that

$$(31) \quad T_0 > \frac{1}{1-\lambda},$$

$$(32) \quad \frac{T_0}{\lambda} > \frac{\lambda - \lambda^N}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^N T_0}{1-\lambda} = T_1,$$

$$(33) \quad \delta = |I_0| - 1/2,$$

$$(34) \quad 0 < \epsilon_0 < \delta \cdot 2^{-N+1},$$

$$(35) \quad 2\epsilon_j < \epsilon_{j+1} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

$$(36) \quad \lambda\eta < \lambda' < \lambda.$$

Let $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function such that:

$$(37) \quad \tau(\theta) = 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in I_0^{\epsilon_0}$$

$$(38) \quad \tau(\theta) = \lambda' \text{ for all } \theta \in I_j^{\epsilon_j}$$

where $I_j^{\epsilon_j} = (\theta_j + \epsilon_j, \theta_{j+1} - \epsilon_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (39) \quad \tau(\theta_j) &= T_0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, p-1, \\ (40) \quad 0 < \tau(\theta) &\leq T_0 \text{ for } \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus I_0. \end{aligned}$$

The next three lemmas are devoted to prove that the properties stated in Proposition 7.4 hold for a function $\tau : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as above. It follows that there is a Lipschitz close $\mathcal{C}^\omega$ function for which the statement of the proposition holds.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\rho^\pm = \rho_{A_{\lambda,\tau}}^\pm$ on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

- (1) $\rho^-(\theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) $\rho^+(\theta_j) = (1 - \lambda)^{-1}T_0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, p-1$.
- (3) $\rho^+(\theta_0 + \frac{1}{2}) < \lambda^{-1}T_0$.

Proof. (1) Since

$$|I_0^{\epsilon_0}| = |I_0| - 2\epsilon_0 > |I_0| - 2\delta \cdot 2^{-N+1} \geq |I_0| - \delta,$$

it follows that $\mathbf{m}_2(I_0^{\epsilon_0}) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, given $\theta'_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$ there exists $\theta'_n \in I_0^{\epsilon_0}$ such that $2\theta'_n = \theta'_{n-1}$. Hence, $\rho^-(\theta'_0) \leq t_\lambda((\theta'_n)) = 0$ (see Proposition 2.5). Also $0 \leq \rho^-(\theta'_0)$ since $\tau \geq 0$.

(2) Since $\tau \leq T_0$, it follows that $\rho^+ \leq (1 - \lambda)^{-1}T_0$. Now $\rho^+(\theta_j) = (1 - \lambda)^{-1}T_0$ because each one of the periodic points θ_j has as an infinite backward orbit along the periodic orbit $\theta_0, \dots, \theta_{p-1}$.

(3) Let $\theta'_0 = \theta_0 + 1/2$ and $(\theta'_n) \in \mathcal{S}$ be a backward orbit. Let

$$N_0 = \min\{n / \theta'_n \notin I_0^{\epsilon_0} \cup \dots \cup I_{p-1}^{\epsilon_{p-1}}\}.$$

Then

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta'_{N_0}, \{\theta_j\}_0^{p-1}) \leq \max\{\epsilon_j\} = \epsilon_0 < \delta \cdot 2^{-N+1}.$$

Hence,

$$\delta = \text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}(\theta'_0, \{\theta_j\}_0^{p-1}) < \delta \cdot 2^{-N+1} \cdot 2^{N_0}.$$

We conclude that $N_0 \geq N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^+(\theta'_0) &\leq \tau(\theta'_1) + \lambda\tau(\theta'_2) + \dots + \lambda^{N_0-2}\tau(\theta'_{N_0-1}) + \frac{\lambda^{N_0}T_0}{1-\lambda} \\ &\leq \lambda + \lambda^2 + \dots + \lambda^{N_0-1} + \frac{\lambda^{N_0}T_0}{1-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\lambda - \lambda^{N_0}}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^{N_0}T_0}{1-\lambda} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda - \lambda^N}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda^N T_0}{1-\lambda} < \lambda^{-1}T_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 7.6. *There exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the attractor Ω_F is not a closed topological annulus.*

Proof. If we denote by $\gamma^\pm$ the graphs of $\rho_{A_{\lambda,\tau}}^\pm$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min\{t / (\theta_1, t) \in A_{\lambda,\tau}(\gamma^+)\} \\
&= \min\{\lambda\rho^+(\theta_0 + 1/2) + \tau(\theta_0 + 1/2), \lambda\rho^+(\theta_0) + \tau(\theta_0)\} \\
&= \min\{\lambda\rho^+(\theta_0 + 1/2), (1 - \lambda)^{-1}T_0\} \\
&< T_0 \\
&= \max\{0, T_0\} \\
&= \max\{\lambda\rho^-(\theta_0 + 1/2) + \tau(\theta_0 + 1/2), \lambda\rho^-(\theta_0) + \tau(\theta_0)\} \\
&= \max\{t / (\theta_1, t) \in A_{\lambda,\tau}(\gamma^-)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.8, it follows that for all F close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ the attractor Ω_F is not an annulus. $\square$

Lemma 7.7. *There exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ in $\text{Lip}(\overline{U}, U)$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{U}$ the attractor Ω_F has non-empty interior.*

Proof. Let η' be such that

$$(\lambda + \dots + \lambda^{p-1})\eta > \eta' > 1.$$

By Proposition 6.2 we may assume that for all F sufficiently close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ the lower boundary $\rho_F^- : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well defined and

$$\rho_F^- < (\lambda')^2.$$

Consider the subsets R_1^F and R_2 of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by:

$$R_1^F = \{(\theta, t) / \rho_F^-(\theta) \leq t \leq \lambda\},$$

$$R_2 = \overline{I_0^{\epsilon_0}} \times [\lambda, \eta'] \cup \bigcup_{j=2}^{p-1} (\overline{I_j^{\epsilon_j}} \times [\lambda, (\lambda + \dots + \lambda^j)\eta]).$$

Let $R^F = R_1^F \cup R_2$. We will show that the $F(R^F) \supset R^F$ for all F in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $A_{\lambda,\tau}$.

To simplify notation, let $R = R^{A_{\lambda,\tau}}$. We start by showing that $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\text{int } R) \supset R_2$. In fact, since for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$

$$I_j^{\epsilon_j} \times (0, (\lambda + \dots + \lambda^j)\eta) \subset \text{int } R$$

and $\tau(I_j^{\epsilon_j}) = \{\lambda'\}$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{m}_2(I_j^{\epsilon_j}) \times (\lambda' + \lambda(\lambda + \dots + \lambda^j)\eta) \subset A_{\lambda,\tau}(\text{int } R).$$

By (35), $\mathbf{m}_2(I_j^{\epsilon_j}) \supset \overline{I_{j+1}^{\epsilon_{j+1}}}$ and, by (36), $(\lambda' + \lambda(\lambda + \dots + \lambda^j)\eta) \supset [\lambda, \lambda\eta + \lambda(\lambda + \dots + \lambda^j)\eta]$. Therefore, $A_{\lambda,\tau}(\text{int } R) \supset R_2$. Hence, for all F sufficiently close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ we also have that

$$(41) \quad F(\text{int } R^F) \supset R_2$$

since the boundaries of R^F move continuously with F .

For F sufficiently close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ the circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \{\lambda\}$ has image contained in $t > \lambda'\lambda$. Therefore,

$$(42) \quad F(\{(\theta, t) / \rho_F^-(\theta) \leq t \leq \lambda\}) \supset \{(\theta, t) / \rho_F^-(\theta) \leq t \leq \lambda\lambda'\}.$$

Since $A_{\lambda,\tau}(I_0^{\epsilon_0} \times (\lambda\eta, \eta')) \supset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (\lambda\lambda\eta, \lambda\eta') \supset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times [\lambda\lambda', \lambda]$, for all F sufficiently close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ we also have that

$$(43) \quad F(R^F) \supset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times [\lambda\lambda', \lambda].$$

From (42) and (43) we conclude that $F(R^F) \supset R_1^F$. By (41), we obtain that $F(R^F) \supset R^F$.

Since for all F sufficiently close to $A_{\lambda,\tau}$ we have that $F(R^F) \supset R^F$, it follows that $R^F \subset \Omega_F$ and therefore Ω_F has non-empty interior. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bousch, T., *Le poisson n'a pas d'arêtes*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., (2000), **36:4**, 489–508.
- [2] Contreras, G., Lopes, A. O., Thieullen, Ph., *Lyapunov minimizing measures for expanding maps of the circle*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, (2001), **21:5**, 1379 – 1409.
- [3] Dobrynskiĭ, V. A., *There exist endomorphisms of a plane that have two-dimensional attractors*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk, (1999), **364:3**, 303–305,
- [4] Katznelson, Y., An introduction to Harmonic Analysis, Dover Publications, Inc. 1976
- [5] M. Tsujii, *Fat solenoidal attractors*, Nonlinearity (2001), **14**, 1011–1027.
- [6] M. Tsujii, Physical measures for partially hyperbolic surface endomorphisms, ArXiv Preprint math.DS/0301243.
- [7] Viana, M., *Multidimensional nonhyperbolic attractors*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (1997), **85**, 63–96.